The performance of five-year-old children from Mangaung Metro on ten subtests of J. Ayres based Clinical Observations



#### WFOT CONGRESS 2018

Presented by Mrs C Potgieter

Acknowledgements: Mrs E Janse van Rensburg & Mrs A van Jaarsveld

T: 051 401 9111 info@ufs.ac.za www.ufs.ac.za



Copyright reserved Kopiereg voorbehou UNIVERSITY OF THE FREE STATE UNIVERSITEIT VAN DIE VRYSTAAT YUNIVESITHI YA FREISTATA



### INTRODUCTION









### INTRODUCTION



- Clinical Observations (COs) are a measuring instrument supplementing the SIPT (Ayres, 1989).
- Assist therapists in distinguishing **typical behavioural patterns** from **immature behavioural patterns** (Dunn, 1981, p. V).
- COs are **utilized** by **98.40%** of **South African** paediatric occupational therapists (OTs) (Janse van Rensburg et al. 2017).
- SA has a **diverse socio-economic status (SES)** and OTs are confronted with children from deprived environments.
- Limited funding is available and OTs need to rely on observations to draw a conclusion and plan intervention (Van Jaarsveld, 2016).



### INTRODUCTION

A science is marked by the **quality and degree** to which it measures the parameters of its field. **Measuring instruments** are **critical tools** for **acquiring knowledge** and it is difficult to acquire knowledge without them. The **more precisely behaviour** is **measured** the better it is **understood** (Ayres cited in Mailloux, 1990, p. 589).



Does the COs adhere?





### **PROBLEM STATEMENT**



- COs can measure **exact precise behavior**.
- **Typical** expected behavioural patterns are **unknown**.
- Difficult to understand and **interpret the behaviour** observed **accurately**.
- **Norms** used based on research outside of SA.
- Children from diverse socio-economic environments are compared with the same COs norms.
- This impacts negatively on the fairness and accuracy interpret the COs.

QUESTION: What is the performance of five-year-old children from Mangaung Metro on ten subtests of the current Clinical Observation adapted by SAISI and based on the work of Jean Ayres?



### **METHODOLOGY**



• Quantitative, descriptive, observational study design



Number of child:	DOB: 20	) / / Ge	ender: M_1 F_2	SES: H_1	L_2 Dat	e of test:	2017 / /	Dominance:	R_1 L_2
1	2		3		4			5	
Unable to perform	Makes an attempt but or achieves partially	nly Able to perf	form, poor control/not well integrated	Good, sli	ght inconsistenc integratior	ies/lacks so	ome Exec	ute with ease / goo integrated	d control / well /
TEST	GRADE	COMMENTS		PERFORMANC	E CHECKLIST	OBSERVA	ABLE CHARACTE	RISTICS	
DIADOKOKINESIS									
Right () times	5 4 3 2 1		SH PARAMETERS			R	L	В	
Left () times	5 4 3 2 1		Start position			P_1	S.2 P_1 S :	P_1 S_2	DDK 1
Both () times	5 4 3 2 1		Isolated forearm mover	nents					DDK 2
			Position of thumb next	to index finger					DDK 3
			Rhythmical movement	-					DDK 4
			SNH PARAMETERS						
	Measurable		Inco-ordination						DDK 5
	charactaristics			Associated reactions with mouth					DDK 6
characteristics			Associated reactions with other hand						DDK 7
			Fixation of upper arm						DDK 8
			Rigid body						DDK9
			Shoulder elevation						DDK10
			Use vision						DDK11
			Slaps hard on legs						DDK12
			Unusual movement of f	ingers					DDK13
		、 、	Careless movements						DDK14
			Extreme caution in mov	rements					DDK15
			One or more double tap	)					DDK16
		$\sim$	Press elbow in sides						DDK 17
			Not full supination (dorsal side of hand hits leg partially)						DDK18
			Hands not flat (c curved	i)					DDK19
		<u> </u>	Rolling forearm on leg						DDK20

### **METHODOLOGY CONTINUED**

- Cross sectional study design:
  - Schools classified by DoE Low SES (Q1-Q3) and High SES (Q4-Q5).
  - **Performances** of the **two groups** were **compared**.
- Schools:
  - Stratified random sampling.
  - 8 pre-schools.
- Population:
  - Heterogeneous group of **120 typically** developing children.
  - Ranging from 5y6m-5y11m, attending Grade R.
- Data analysis:
  - Assessed individually with adapted version of COs (SAISI, 2005 & Cook et al. 2016) at the schools.
  - Video recorded for detailed analysis.
  - Scoring **in vivo** and **re-assessed** video recordings.
  - Transferred to document and analysed by the Department of Biostatistics from the University of the Free State.



### **TEN SUBTESTS**

- Diadokokinesis (DDK)
- Thumb-Finger Touching (TFT)
- Equilibrium Reactions (ER)
- Prone Extension Posture (PEP)
- Asymmetrical Tonic Neck Reflex (ATNR), Reflex Inhibiting Posture (RIP) & Symmetrical Tonic Neck Reflex (STNR)
- Supine Flexion Posture (SFP)
- Schilder's Arm Extension (SAE)
- Finger-to-Nose (FTN)
- Gaze Stability (GS)
- Standing Balance (SB) [eyes open and closed]





#### **RESULTS OF SFP**



- Measurable Characteristics:
  - **58.33%** (n=70) obtained a **grade score of 4 & 5**.
  - Median duration **16.00 seconds** with  $Q_1$ - $Q_3$  range of 10.50-25.00 seconds.
  - No significant difference was found within the two SE groups (grade score p=0.12 and duration p=0.18).
- Observable Characteristics:
  - Table.







### **RESULTS CONTINUE**

	Frequently Present (75.00%-100.00%)	Sometimes Present (25.00%-74.99%)	Seldom Present (0.00%-24.99%)
SH Parameters		<ul> <li>Lift limbs simultaneously (52.50%, n=63)</li> <li>Maintain posture against resistance (44.17%, n=53)</li> <li>C-curve in upper trunk (43.33%, n=52)</li> <li>Neck flexion more than 45° (30.00%, n=36)</li> </ul>	• Head held steady (23.33%, n=28)
SNH Parameters	<ul> <li>Effort required (85.83%, n=103)</li> <li>Shoulder elevation (75.00%, n=90)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Fixation of upper limbs (73.33%, n=88)</li> <li>Neck flexion less than 45° (70.00%, n=84)</li> <li>Retract chin (61.67%, n=74)</li> <li>Press feet together (58.33%, n=70)</li> <li>Trunk and shoulders in line (56.67%, n=68)</li> <li>Head lag before 10 seconds (50.00%, n=60)</li> <li>Assumes posture with lower limbs first (44.17%, n=53)</li> <li>Fixation of lower limbs (43.33%, n=52)</li> <li>Place one foot over the other (35.83%, n=43)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Assumes posture upper limbs first (3.33%, n=4)</li> <li>Unable to count aloud (23.33%, n=28)</li> <li>Grabbing onto clothes (21.67%, n=26)</li> <li>Chin lead (11.67%, n=14)</li> <li>Fisting of hands (3.33%, n=4)</li> <li>Associated reactions with the mouth (3.33%, n=4)</li> </ul>



### **RESULTS CONT.**



- Observable Characteristics:
  - One significant difference, **count aloud** (p=0.00)
  - More often in low SES (36.67%, n=22) compared to high SES (10.00%, n=6).
  - Interpret this parameter with caution in low SES settings.



### **FINAL CONCLUSION**



- Measurable characteristics:
  - Majority obtained grade scores of 4 & 5.
  - Can be expected of this age group to engage **adequately** in the COs.
  - Low grade scores in TFT, Reflexes, SAE and SB (eyes closed).
  - Most of the quantitative data (except reflexes) was found consistent with norms used in COs (SAISI, 2005).



## FINAL CONCLUSION CONTINUE



- Observable characteristics:
  - Summary of each item according to prevalence.
  - Assist OTs.
- SE differences:
  - No marked differences on the measurable and observable characteristics.
  - Differences in **TFT** and **SB**.
  - On both **measurable** and **observable** characteristics.
  - High SES group performed better.



### **CONTRIBUTION OF STUDY**



- Expanding literature on COs.
- **Updated** quantitative **data** on South African children.
- More **specific data** to assist therapists in under-resourced communities.
- Enhance **SI trained** OTs **reasoning** on patterns of dysfunction.
- Value to SI & neuro-developmental frame of reference.
- Accurate assessment, indirectly influencing treatment.
- Identify children **before** entering formal schooling.



### **REFERENCE LIST**



- Ayres, A. J. (1989). Sensory Integration and Praxis Tests (updated ed.) (SIPT) [Manual]. Torrance, CA: Western Psychological Services.
- Cook, R., Olivier, R., & Van Jaarsveld, A. (2016). Working document: Revision of J Ayres Clinical Observations. Hatfield: South African Institute for Sensory Integration.
- Dunn, W. (1981). *A Guide to Testing Clinical Observations in Kindergartners.* Maryland: American Occupational Therapy Association, Inc.
- Janse van Rensburg, E., Visser, M., Johnson, C., Rawlins, C., Smith, C., Janse van Rensburg, E., et al. (2017). Describing the use of assessment by occupational therapists in paediatric practice in South Africa. *Unpublished Pre-graduate Study*. Bloemfontein: Department of Occupational Therapy, University of the Free State.
- Mailloux, Z. (1990). An Overview of the Sensory Integration and Praxis Tests. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 44(7), 589-594.
- SAISI. (2005). Clinical Observations Adapted from J Ayres Administration and Interpretation. Hatfield: South African Institute for Sensory Integration.
- Van Jaarsveld, A. (2016, September 21). Personal email communication. Bloemfontein. Retrieved from gnatavj@ufs.ac.za.



# **Thank You** Dankie

T: 051 401 9111 info@ufs.ac.za www.ufs.ac.za



UNIVERSITY OF THE FREE STATE UNIVERSITEIT VAN DIE VRYSTAAT YUNIVESITHI YA FREISTATA

