

Lise Poissant¹, Johanne Higgins¹, Caline El-Khoury², Émilie Bastien³

¹Professors, School of Rehabilitation, Université de Montréal,

²PhD candidate, ³MSc OT candidate, Université de Montréal

Introduction and Context

- ➤ QoL remains a complex construct with no unique definition or conceptualisation
- ➤ QoL relates to the culture and value system of individuals, their goals, expectations, standards, and concerns" (WHO, 2012)
- ➤ In children, QoL must include the interaction between the child, his family and the community (Lou & al, 2008).
- ➤ Most QoL tools assess QoL from a health/disease perspective and were validated among children living in developed countries
 - Humanity Inclusive (HI) partnered with UdeM to develop a QoL measure for children, regardless of their gender, age or disability status and growing up in contexts of vulnerability

Methods

- ➤ 16 Focus groups (FG) were conducted with children and parents recruited by HI teams
 - ➤ Birmese refugee camps (Thailand Tak provinces)
 - Slum in Dhaka Bengladesh and rural community outside Dhaka
- > Consent was obtained for all participants





Methods

- Interview guide adapted to children and use of translator
- > Pictures were used as prompts to facilitate expression of feelings
- ➤ The FG guide was developed on the basis of key QoL of dimensions (physical, psychological, social) and Maslow's hierarchy of needs
 - What makes you happy?
 - What does school means to you?
 - How do you think this boy is feeling?
- Thematic analyses of verbatims using QDA-Miner



Results

- > Participants
 - ≥ 38 children in Thailand (60% were girls) 6-7/9-10/15-16 years
 - ➤ 49 children in Bengladesh (72% were girls) 6-7/10-11/13-16 years
 - > < 10 % with some form of disability
 - ➤ 19 parents in Bengladesh (63% were women)
 - ➤ 14 parents in Thailand (93% were women)







Results

QUALITY OF LIFE

Emotional

- Being listened to
- Being appreciated
- Having confidence
- Feeling equal to others
- Feeling happy/sad
- Feeling useful
- Feeling hopeful
- Being optimistic

Social

- Belonging to a group
- Living in loving environment
- Having social/family support
- Taking meals with family
- Having friends

Physical

- Doing activities other kids do
- Feeling healthy
- Having enough food

Realization/ autonomy

- Learning new skills/knowledge
- Going to school
- Making own decisions
- Balance between play and work

Safety

- Feeling safe outside
- Feeling safe at home

ec rsi

Discussion

- Friendship, feeling valued/useful, going to school, spending time with parents were strong themes across all children groups
- Parents were most concerned with safety issues of their children and fulfilling basic needs
- > Optimism, being hopeful about reaching goals was an issue from the parents perspective not for children
- Gender issues were detected on safety and learning new skills/knowledge across countries
- Conducting FG with a translator was seen as a limit of the study

Conclusion

- Our study supports that culture and context influence how key QoL domains are expressed
- ➤ A 20-item instrument based on the 5 key domains will be tested to confirm the conceptualisation of QoL for children living in vulnerable environments and to further develop the psychometric properties of the tool

Acknowledgments



Aude Brus Stéphanie Legoff Alexey Kruk Cheryl Yeam All the on-site staff





Students and staff